Reserve

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1934
(Mizrati

File No. 292-34
Serial Nos. 1-7

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 7

Part B for August-35

SUBJECT.

Appeal against the orders of the Muz Court in the matter of the resignation from the archak of Sri Gopalakrishnaswami temple Hvanavru Bangalore Taluk

Archak Venkatacharya
Sri Venugopalaswami Temple
Hvanavru Agara
Bangalore Tk

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

No. 109 P 3 F. 35—

Intimate that his petition is rejected.

Serial No.

1

Petition dy 5/12/34 but filed on 17-12-34 from Venkatachar of Hosamane Agra Archak of Sri Venugopala Swami temple Krishnarajpura Hobli Bangalore Taluk appealing against the order of the Myzrai Commissioner in the matter of the reserve levied from the Archak for the temple repairs Etc. from the income of the temple lands.

1 The petn is sufficiently stamped and the court fees on the petn is Rs 1/0/34 From the papers now before us, it cannot be definitely stated on what date actually he got this endorsement by post. The appeal satn is in time - for order if the memo admitted and record called for -

Gas 10/1

2) The Appellant in the last para of his petition has asked for a stay order A ← A separate duly stamped petition in this respect is necessary —

Sd 11/1/35

3) The Records may be called for and petitioner informed as at A in regard to his request for a stay order.

Sd 11/1/35

Ch: Secy)

RB 12/1/35.

12/1/35

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12-6 31

Memo of forwardal put up on the P.H.D and the Ends to the petr as per rules are prepd & they may kindly be signed.

15/1/35

15/1/35

Petition sent to M.C with no 10129/16-1-35

Endorsement to the Petition 10130/16-1-35

Petition of 13.2.35 from Arcaki Venkatappa alias Venkatachar of Horamavu Agara Krishnarajpuram Hobli Bangalore Taluk praying for the grant of a stay order as the local officers are taking steps to put up for auction on 14th Feby 1935 his moveable and immoveable properties on account of the Rs. 5/- money stated to be due by him to the temple of Sri Gopalakrishna Swami at the place

Kindly see the entry in the books of the M.C. furnished by the petr along with the petn (No 35/33-34 of 1.10.34)

It is seen from this that the D.C. sanctioned in Dec 1924 a scale of income & expenditure for the institution (for which the petr is an inamdar) in which a reserve of Rs. 10/- p.a. was fixed for the repairs etc of the building. Afterwards the Mysore Hindu Religious Endowments Act came into force, the petr was in appeal before the M.C. on the subject & the petr

Stay order pet[n] of Archak Venkatacharya of Hosamavu agara - Sriranga Gopalakrishna Swami temple - Bangalore T.K.

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 292 of 1934. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

was rejected on the ground specified therein. This is against this order of the M.C. the petner has preferred an appeal. - Records have been called for & the petn's request for a stay order is for orders in the light of the orders passed by the M.C.

G.S.
18.2.35

8) The order of the M.C. (Stay M) is very clear - & such the petn. [illegible] no case at all. His request for a stay order does not seem tenable. The II Member to whom the petition was presented has 18/2/35
Ch: Secy) Not stated that a stay order may be given

CHIEF SECRETARY
18 FEB 1935

9) No stay order is called for

Rly
19/2

10) Endt to the petner pointing out for his signature —
G.S.
19.2.35

11) J.C. 19/2

Issued No 1107 & 21-2-1935 to the petner Archak Venkatacharya of Sri Gopalakrishna Swami temple - Hosamavu Agara. Krishnarajpura Hobli. Bangalore

4.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12 6 31

no 11 of 34–35

Decision of the M.C. re
and mig. Dept. dated from 1 to 3
2

File No. of 1934. for a

declining the grant of his request for
a stay order in the case referred to therein

Petition of 8.4.1935 from Venkatarama
Aschak of Sri Ramanuja agna Sri Venugopala Swami temple
Stating that he is proceeded against on account
of the reserve amount due by him for the temple,
that he would attend to urgent repairs of
the temple and that the payment of reserve be
excused.

12) Records have been called for.
Stay order applied for by the petitioner has already
been refused. The petitioner says that of
the work in the Bridges are
not attended to in time, it will entail damage
to the existing railway Bridges
& line. Therefore there will be necessity to
have to have any repairs done from
the reserve as the Railway Dept.

13) [illegible]

Forward to the C. M. Conf.
111) and say that the records
called for may be sent
back.

10/4/35

Memo for Head of Branch office P.W.D.
for [illegible]

16) 10/4

10.4.35

Petition Rev. to M.C. dtd no 1318
of 10-4-35

11/4

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mysg. File No. 292 of 1934 [App F III-2

From the Amgrai Comr,
No. H.C.85/34-5 dated 30th May 1935

6

Forwards the records relating to the appeal of the petitioners relating to the Reserve Fund payable out of the income of Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Haramavu, Bangalore Taluk.

(2) The petitioner states that the Amgrai Comr. passed his order ex parte. There is nothing in the Religeous and Charitable Institutions Regulations, or the Rules under the Regulation to show that petitioners are entitled to a personal hearing when any petition is disposed of by the Amgrai Comr. or other officers of the Amgrai Dept.

(3) The petitioner states that the temple referred to above being a village institution, it ought not to be subjected to any levy. The rules contained on pages 304 and 305 of the Amgrai Manual state that contributions to the Reserve Fund are leviable from institutions the lands of which are in the enjoyment of the servants of the temple. As only servants of village institutions are left in charge

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

of the property of such temples, contribution for the Reserve Fund seems to be leviable from them. Contribution for the Maruai Establishment Fund is also recoverable in cases where ~~sanction~~ the levy of such a contribution has been fixed by a 'X' competent authority. In this case the levy of contribution has been fixed by the Dy. Comr. (Vide para 3 of the Maruai Comr.'s order). It is therefore a point for orders whether the ~~institution~~ contribution for the Reserve Fund and Maruai Establishment is not recoverable from the institution.

'X' Vide pages 288 of the Maruai Manual

19 The petitioner says that he "fails to understand" the basis on which a contribution of Rs 10 was ordered to be recovered from the institution. The maximum percentage of contribution leviable for the Reserve Fund and the Maruai Establishment Fund are 15 and 5 per cent respectively. (Vide pages 304 and 288 of the Maruai Manual). The income of the institution is estimated at Rs 80 per annum. (Vide the Mahazar dated 30.8.30 (serial 10) and the para 3 of the Maruai

Plan G

Comr.'s order appealed against, Contribution for the Reserve Fund at the maximum rate of ₹15 per cent and for the Establishment Mugrai Fund at 3 per cent on the income of the institution, which is Rs 80, comes to Rs 12 and Rs 2-6-4 respectively as worked out in the margin. The total contribution payable by the institution is therefore Rs 14-6-4. The amount of contribution ordered to be levied by the Dy. Comr., Bangalore Dt., which has been confirmed by the Mugrai Comr., is only Rs 10. It is a point for consideration whether the contribution ordered to be levied in this case is excessive as stated by the petitioner.

2) In view of the facts stated above it is a point for orders whether orders directing the adoption of coercive measures for recovering

Contribution payable for the Reserve Fund:

Rs 80 = Rs $\frac{15 \times 80}{100}$

= Rs 12

for Mugr. Estb. Fund on Rs 100 = Rs 3

on Rs 80 = Rs $\frac{3 \times 80}{100}$

= Rs $\frac{12}{5}$

= Rs $2\frac{2}{5}$

= Rs 2-6-4

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

the arrears of contribution amounting to Rs 55 due by the petitioner should be stayed as requested by the petitioner.

21) The above appears to explain the case. In the appeal petition (para 3) it is urged that this institution having an income of only Rs 60 or Rs 70, is not liable for payment of reserve or contribution. This is not correct. The Inam in the possession & enjoyment of the archak alone brings an income of Rs 70/- or 75/- while the Bhajanai Inam which is of equal extent brings an income of another Rs 75/-. Hence the levy of Reserve & Contribution amounts is in order. (Para II)

In para 7 of the appeal petn the Appellant says that he does not know the basis on which Rs 10/- was provided for reserve. Kind reference is invited to the Scale of expenditure sanctd for the temple (Flag H). Surely the archak cannot be unaware of this.

The appellant prays that the institution may be permanently classed as a Village Institution and kept in his charge. Necessary clearing instructions.

It is for orders whether the case may be posted for hearing on the appeal petition & the petr informed that for the reasons

Mag. of 1928

4-35 Collection

Agana Income-Bangalore,

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 292 of 1934-5 [App. F III-2

Change the order of the R.C.

12/7/35

Gen: Secy)

2b) Mangalore Project-Hd

1b) Accts

Pol

Subordinate a Offs

No 109 G 3.8.35 to the petitioner Archak Venkatachariar intimating the Assistant appeal petition.

No 1109 3/8/35 to the Manager "Cinnissam"

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 26 JULY 1935 No. 6093

NOTE—Contn Sheet.



Ws 29 2-34-1

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

Under Section 38 (2) of the Mysore Religious and charitable Institution Regulation.

Respectfully sheweth,

Ch.Secy.
with compliments.

The humble Petitioner presents this appeal against the order of the learned Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore passed in appeal No. 35 of 33-34 (Endorsement, dated 1-10-34 is enclosed in original.)

2. Sri Venugopala Swami Temple at Horamavu, is a Muzrai Institution and a portion of the inam lands belonging to it are in the enjoyment of the appellant as remuneration for services rendered.

3. In December 1929 the Deputy Commissioner sanctioned a scale of income and expenditure providing for a reserve of Rs.8/- and a contribution of Rs.2/- to the Muzrai Establishment Fund. The appellant was contending that the temple being a village institution and the estimated income being only Rs.60/- to Rs.70/- it was not liable either or the payment of the reserve or contribution.

4. While matters stood thus, the Sub-Division Officer, Bangalore Sub Division, quite unjustifiably and in connection with an enquiry with which the appellant was not concerned enhanced the amount to paid by him by Rs.20/- a year.

5. On appeal, the learned Deputy Commissioner in his order No. M.A. 8/33-34, dated 2-4-34 ordered that the reserve fund of Rs.10/- already fixed may stand.

6. The appellant thereafter appealed to the Muzrai Commissioner even against this unjustifiable of Rs.10/- and the order now appealed against was passed EXPARTE in spite of the humble petitioner beseeching the Muzrai Commissioner to give him an opportunity to explain his hard and pitiable case.

7. The temple being a village institution with an income less of than Rs.100/- it ought to be left in the care of the appellant under the control of the local officials and it ought not to be subjected to any levy. The appellant even to this day fails to understand the basis on which a sum of Rs.10/- was provided towards the reserve, etc., considering the fact that the income from lands was estimated at Rs.70/-. In addition to rendering daily and hetchukatle services, the appellant has to pay Government dues on the lands.

8. The lands are not yielding the income estimated in 1929 and the appellant is finding it difficult to maintain services in the temple owing to the fall in prices in previous years and failure of rains during the current year. Notwithstanding these disabilities, the appellant got the building repaired at a cost of nearly Rs.400/- meeting the entire amount out of his private resources.

9. By sheer inability and extreme poverty it has not been possible for the appellant to pay the reserve amount imposed on him and the local authorities are taking coercive steps to recover the arrears, due by him. The appellant is entirely

helpless to meet this iniquitous levy.

10. The EXPARTE order passed by the learned Muzrai Commissioner is not maintainable as the appeal was primarily against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, fixing the scale in December 1929 and secondarily against the later order of 1934. The appellant fails to understand the grounds on which the Muzrai Commissioner held that the recovery of Rs.10/- from the appellant was not excessive.

11. The appellant begs that the order passed by the learned Muzrai Commissioner may be set aside and a direction given that the temple be permanently classed as a village Institution and left in charge of the appellant subject ot the rules and regulations governing the management of this class of temples.

12. The appellant further begs that pending the disposal of this appeal, the coercive steps that are being taken to recover a sum of Rs.55/- alleged to be due by him may be ordered to be stayed.



Begs to remain,
Your most obedient and humble servant,

APPELLANT.

1012/Muz & 16-1-35
Forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner for kindly forwarding records connected with the case.

By order
15/1/35
for Chief Secretary to Govt.

(325) HC 85/34-35 Rev 1
24-1-35

25 JAN 1935

Forwarded with Com
Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District,
for forwarding the Connected records
on the subject at an early date.

24/1/35
for Munsif Commissioner

No. C3-2384/34-35 dt.

Records
forwarded to the Sub Dy Office,
Bangalore for submitting the Connected
records Very early.

Pl.3788
B2

31/1/35

B2-PL 822/34-35 dt

Forwarded to the Amildar, Bangalore
for submitting the Connected records
by return post.

2.2.35

Pl 14567

Pl 822

B2
Pl 4270

Submitted record
B2-1121/34-35 dt
on file

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore with reference to his
No. C3-2384/34-35 dated 31-1-35

27-2-35

C3-2384/34-35

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

No. Apl 35 / 33-34

Dated Bangalore, 1/10. *193*

Endorsement *granted to* [illegible]

Petition Register No. [illegible]

Dated [illegible]

Subject :— [illegible]

[illegible] *in reply to his Petition Register No. as per margin.*

C3

2 NOV 1935

Read 136

The Petitioner is informed that [illegible]

6/3

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Ramaiah

Muzrai Commissioner.

WD 01614 GPB—250—3-5-34

In the Court of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore

Appeal No: 35/33-34.

Appellant:-

1 Venkatachar, Archak of Sri Venugopalaswamy Temple, Hanamavu Agara Krishnarajapura Hobli, Bangalore Taluk.

VS:-

Respondent:-

Government.

Subject

2 Appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District directing the recovery of reserve and Establishment Fund Contribution at Rs 10/- per annum from the appellant in respect of the inam lands of the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Hanamavu Agara, Bangalore Taluk.

Facts of the Case

3. The temple in question is a village institution and the archak is in the enjoyment of inam lands measuring 15 acres 14 gunthas with an aggregate assessment of Rs 25-12-0. The villagers have estimated the annual income at Rs 65 to 70/- On 11-12-29 the Deputy Commissioner sanctioned a scale on the basis of this income providing a sum of Rs 8/- towards Reserve Fund and Rs 2/- towards Contribution to Muzrai Establishment Fund. On subsequent correspondence, the Subdivision Officer observed that the reserve paid by the archak viz Rs 10/- per annum was too low and that he was enjoying the same extent of lands as the bhajantris who were paying a reserve of Rs 20/- per annum. He accordingly directed that a sum of Rs 20/- per annum should be levied from the archak

towards reserve. On appeal the Commissioner observed that the two cases cannot be considered on the same footing and directed that the old levy of Rs 8/- towards reserve and Rs 2/- towards Establishment Fund may be continued. The appellant pleads that even the Reserve of Rs 10/- is too high in view of the present yield from the inam lands and prays that it may be suitably reduced.

Order No. 35/33-34 dt 25.9.34 / 1-10-34

4) The sum of Rs 10/- which the appellant is required to pay towards Reserve and Establishment Fund is by no means excessive. The amount was fixed in connection with the scale of expenditure which was sanctioned by the Deputy Commissioner in December 1929. The order now passed by the Deputy Commissioner only affirms the order of 1929 and is not a fresh order on which an appeal could be entertained. On merits also, the petitioner has no case. The appeal is accordingly rejected.

Sd/- N. Madhava Rao
Musrai Commissioner.

Copy applied for by Archak Venkatachar on 4-12-34
Copy ready on 5-12-34
delivered on 5-12-34
No. of words 320.
Copying fees 0-8-0
Comparing Do 0-2-0.
0-10-0.
Copied by S. Jinjiramadas
Compared by Hanumantha

erected a Chaw of
Kopperlakkesha
Temple -

Being for a Stay
order re his Inam Lands
on the 14.2.35

Sd
HK
14/2/35

Sd
14/2

GENL. & REV SECRETARIAT
933.
18 FEB 1935

TWO RUPEES

Read on 18 Feb 35 at 5.30 p.m.

dated 13-2-35

WD 01723—GPB—8000—26-4-28

ನಂಬರು..... 1013 /Mis

ಇನ್ನುಮೇಲೆ ನೀವು ಬರೆಯುವ ಕಾಗದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನಂಬರನ್ನು ದಯಯಿಟ್ಟು ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿ.

Mysore Branch

[illegible] Revenue Secretariat ರ ಆಫೀನು.

Bangalore —

ತಾರೀಖು..... 15 / 1 / 1935

16

ಇಂಡೋರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್.

[illegible]

ಸಂದರ್ಭ :— ನಿಮ್ಮ..... 27/12/34 ನೆಯ ಮರ್ಕುಂ ~~ಕಾಗದ~~ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ವಿಷಯ.—

[illegible]

ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಂಡ ... 1 ..... ನೆಯ ನಂಬರ್ ಕಲುಮಿಗೆ ಗಮನಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

By order

ರುಜು...

ಹುದ್ದೆಯ ಹೆಸರು... for Chief Secretary

* [illegible]

4. ನಿಮ್ಮ ~~ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯನ್ನು~~ ನೆರವೇರಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.....

~~2. ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕಾಗದವನ್ನು / ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು~~ .....

....ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

3. ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿನ... ... . ನೆಯ ಮರ್ಕುಂ ... .ನೆಯ ನಂಬರಿಗೆ ಗಮನಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೊರಿದೆ.

4. ..... , ...ನೆಯ ಮರ್ಕುಂ..... ... . ನೆಯ ನಂಬರನ್ನು ಕಂಡು ಹಿಡಿಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ ; ಅದರ ಒಂದು ನಕಲನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

5. ಮಲಪೂಪಿಗಳು ತಲುಪಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ

6. ಅರ್ಜಿಯು—

(i) ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾದ ನಮೂನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲ್ಪಡದೆ,

ಅಥವಾ (ii) ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಹಚ್ಚಿಸಲ್ಪಡದೆ,

ಅಥವಾ (iii) ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾದ ವಾಯಿದೆ ಯೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಹಾಜರ್ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡದೆ,

ಅಥವಾ (iv) ಯಾವ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಆಪೀಲು ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದೋ ಅಥವಾ ಪಿರ್ಯಾದು ತರಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದೋ ಆ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಒಂದು ನಕಲಿನ ನಮೇತ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲ್ಪಡದೆ, ಇರುವ ಪ್ರಯುಕ್ತ, ಅದನ್ನು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ವಾಪಸುಮಾಡಿದೆ.

7. ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು , ...ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರ್ಮಾಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಾಪಸುಮಾಡಿದೆ.

8. (a) ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಬರೆವಣಿಗೆಯು ಓದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಾರದಹಾಗೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ,

(b) ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ,

(c) ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಅನುಚಿತವಾದ ಭಾಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಮಾಡಿದ

~~* ಕಾರಣಗಳು ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವನ್ನು ಸಂಕ್ಷೇಪವಾಗಿ ನಮೂದಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು~~

MLJ 212-34-5

(19) 1132

5/4/24

8.4.35

13.8, Mug dt 10-4-35

Forwarded both compliments to the Municipal Commissioner in Continuation of this office Memo No 1012 dt 11.1.35 both the Municipal Council that the Council called for may kindly be submitted in due course

10/4/35

for Chief Secretary to Govt

Re 85/34-5
Ewe 2 file.

Muz 292 34-6

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 30th May *1935.*

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ, B A, B.L,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.





To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore, ~~*Department*~~

SIR,

Appeal of Venkatachar of Horamavu re: the Reserve Fund payable in favour of Sri Venugopala-swami Temple at the place.

With reference to your Memo No.1012 and No.1318 dated 16th January and 10th April 1935, respectively, re: the above, I have the honor to forward herewith the entire file of records received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C3-2384/34-35 dated 10th May 1935 (copy enclosed) together with this office file Appeal No.35 of 33-34 bearing on the subject. The two original petitions also accompany.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from headquarters.)

Letter No.C3-2384/34-35 dated 10-5-35 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.H.C.85/34-35 dated 1-4-1935 regarding the reserve fund payable by the archak of Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Horamavu Bangalore Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith the mutchalika obtained from the archak on a stamp paper on 16-5-1929, agreeing to pay Rs 16/- towards reserve fund and Rs 4/- towards Muzrai Establishment Fund.

The Archak has, in his statement made before the Amildar on 13-5-1929, stated that he has no objection to pay a suitable reserve fund. It is reported by the Amildar that no mutchalika was obtained from the archak binding him to pay Rs 10/- as noted on the record on 12-9-1929.

The petition received with your letter under reply and the connected file papers received with the report No.Muz.C.25/34-35 dated 27-4-1935 are sent here with.

"C O P Y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

VD-01723—GPB—8000—26-4-28

ನಂಬರು 109
ಇನ್ನು ಮೇಲೆ ನೀವು ಬರೆಯುವ ಕಾಗದ ಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ನಂಬರನ್ನು ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ನಮೂದು ಮಾಡಿ.

Mysore Branch.
General and Revenue Secretariat ರ ಆಫೀಸು.
Bangalore—

ತಾರೀಖು 30-7-1935

3—8.

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್.

[illegible]

ಸಂದರ್ಭ :— ನಿಮ್ಮ .... 17.12.34 .....ನೆಯ ಮರ್ಕುಂ (ಅರ್ಜಿ

ವಿಷಯ:— [illegible] ಅಭಿಲಾಷೆ ಕಾಗಹ

[illegible] 35/33-34 [illegible]

ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಂಡ ... 1 .....ನೆಯ ನಂಬರ್ ಕಲಮಿಗೆ ಗಮನಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ರುಜು ... 31/7 ...

ಹುದ್ದೆಯ ಹೆಸರು Asst. Secy. ....

---

*

4. ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆಯನ್ನು ನೆರವೇರಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ; [illegible]

2. ~~ನಿಮ್ಮ ಕಾಗದವನ್ನು / ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು~~ .....ಗೆ
.....ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

3. ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿನ ..... ನೆಯ ಮರ್ಕುಂ ..... ನೆಯ ನಂಬರಿಗೆ ಗಮನಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೊರಿದೆ.

4. .....ನೆಯ ಮರ್ಕುಂ..... ನೆಯ ನಂಬರನ್ನು ಕಂಡು ಹಿಡಿಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ; ಅದರ ಒಂದು ನಕಲನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

5. ಮಲಪೂಪಿಗಳು ತಲುಪಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

6. ಅರ್ಜಿಯು—
(i) ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾದ ನಮೂನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲ್ಪಡದೆ,
ಅಥವಾ (ii) ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಹಚ್ಚಿಸಲ್ಪಡದೆ,
ಅಥವಾ (iii) ವಿಧಾಯಕವಾದ ವಾಯಿದೆ ಯೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಹಾಜರ್ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡದೆ,
ಅಥವಾ (iv) ಯಾವ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಆಪೀಲು ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದೋ ಆಥವಾ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದು ತರಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದೋ ಆ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಒಂದು ನಕಲನ ನಮೇತ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲ್ಪಡದೆ, ಇರುವ ಪ್ರಯುಕ್ತ, ಅದನ್ನು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ವಾಪಸುಮಾಡಿದೆ.

110 Lms 2 folds
4-3-35

AC
HMC

7. ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ..... ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರ್ಮಾಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವಾಪಸುಮಾಡಿದೆ.

Chief Secy. to Govt. M.C.
Returning record
received with letter No.
N-C 85—34-35-94-635
dt. 30.7.35

8. (a) ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಬರೆವಣಿಗೆಯು ಓದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಾರದಹಾಗೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ,
(b) ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವು ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟವಾಗಿಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ,
(c) ಅರ್ಜಿಯ್ಲು ಅನುಚಿತವಾದ ಭಾಷೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಮಾಡಿದ.

31/7

Asst. Secy.

* ಕಾರಣಗಳು ಯಾವುದಾದರೂ ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅವನ್ನು ಸಂಕ್ಷೇಪವಾಗಿ ನಮೂದಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.